# P21 WALL TESTING ON TIMBERCRETE WALL PANELS

FOR TIMBERCRETE NZ LTD REPORT NO: TE06-001

> by Doug Gaunt

# P21 TESTING ON TIMBERCRETE WALL PANELS FOR TIMBERCRETE NZ LTD REPORT NO: TE06-001

A report prepared for Timbercrete NZ Ltd – Steve Watts

by

Doug Gaunt

August 2006

Correspondence to:

Unit Leader Ensis Wood Processing and Products Private Bag 3020 Leader ROTORUA

Phone:(07) 343-5777Fax:(07) 343-5507

Approved for Release

R Cant Unit

Date: 22 August 2006

©Ensis – A Joint Venture of CSIRO and SCION

All rights reserved. Unless permitted by contract or law, no part of this work may be reproduced, stored or copied in any form or by any means without the express permission of Ensis wood processing and products

# P21 Testing of Timbercrete Wall Panels

### Objective

• To evaluate the P21 wall bracing performance of 2400mm high Timbercrete solid timber/masonry unlined walls of 880mm and 1800mm lengths.

#### Material

Timbercrete NZ Ltd constructed the 2400mm high walls in the Timber Engineering Pole-barn. The walls were left for at least 28 days to cure and were carried down to the laboratory for testing by forklift.

The 880mm long walls were constructed as follows:

- From 430mm long x 150mm high x 150mm wide Timbercrete masonry blocks.
- The walls were built on top of three nail laminated 140x45 timber joists.
- The walls comprised of 14 courses with every second bed-joint having a strip of 25x0.9mm steel strapping.
- A 140x45 timber top plate
- Each end of the walls had continuous M12 tie rod (100mm approx in from the wall ends) between from the timber joists and the top plate. A 50x50x3mm washer was used over the top plate with an 80x80x5mm washer used at the underside of the joists.

The 1800 long walls were constructed as follows:

- From 430mm long x 150mm high x 150mm wide Timbercrete masonry blocks.
- The walls were built on top of three nail laminated 140x45 timber joists.
- The walls comprised of 14 courses with every second bed-joint having a strip of 25x0.9mm steel strapping.
- A 140x45 timber top plate
- Each end of the walls had continuous M12 tie rod (120mm approx in from the wall ends) between from the timber joists and the top plate. A 50x50x3mm washer was used over the top plate with an 80x80x5mm washer used at the underside of the joists.

## **Test Methods**

- 1. The testing was carried out on the 1<sup>st</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> August 2006 in the Timber Engineering laboratory at Ensis, Rotorua.
- 2. All the walls were tested for their P21 wall racking performance in the Timber Engineering wall racking test rig as shown in Figure 1.





The test procedure was to rack the walls as follows:

- From start (zero deflection, zero load)
- Three cycles +8mm deflection to -8mm deflection
- Followed by Three cycles +15mm deflection to -15mm deflection
- Followed by Three cycles +20mm deflection to -20mm deflection
- Followed by Three cycles +25mm deflection to -25mm deflection
- Followed by Three cycles +30mm deflection to -30mm deflection
- Followed by Three cycles +35mm deflection to -35mm deflection
- Followed by Three cycles +40mm deflection to -40mm deflection
- Followed by Three cycles +45mm deflection to -45mm deflection
- Return to (zero deflection, zero load), finish test.
- 3. Continuous plots of load and deflection were made using an XY recorder.
- 4. The load/deflection plots were anaylsed in accordance with the Supplement to P21: An Evaluation Method of P21 Test Results for use with NZS3604:1990. This evaluation method uses a 0.9 multiplier to calculate the ultimate wind bracing units. Discussing this 0.9 factor with Stuart Thurston of BRANZ, the factor exists to take account of the effects of wind turbulence. If the walls were only tested at +/-8mm and then +/-35mm without building up to the larger deflection with a series of three loading cycles at 5mm increments the 0.9 factor should be used. However as we built up to the maximum deflection cycles in a series of steps the

0.9 factor does not apply. This was first suggested by Andrew King of BRANZ and has since been adopted by BRANZ. On this basis we have deleted the 0.9 factor from our calculations.

### Results

The following Table 1 summarises the P21 results for the wall lengths tested. Table 1 shows:

- The different wall lengths,
- The earthquake bracing unit per metre, in brackets is the limiting state (U) = Ultimate or (S) = Serviceability,
- The wind bracing unit per metre, in brackets is the limiting state (U) = Ultimate or (S) = Serviceability.

#### **Definitions: From NZS4203:1993**

<u>Ultimate Limit State</u> – This condition is reached when the building ruptures, becomes unstable or loses equilibrium.

<u>Serviceability Limit State</u> – This condition is reached when the building becomes unfit for its intended use through deformation, vibratory response, degradation or other physical aspects.

Laboratory Test Numbers	Wall Length (mm)	Earthquake Bracing units / metre	Wind Bracing units / metre
237410 237412 237413	880	195 (U)	178 (S)
237411	1800	Not tested	278 (U)

 Table 1: Summary of Timbercrete P21 wall test results.

Figures 2, & 3 show the calculations used in deriving the bracing ratings in Table 1.

The maximum load capacity of the hydraulic actuator in 25kN and this limited the testing of the 1800mm long walls to the +/- 15mm cycle. It thus was decided not to test the remaining 1800mm long walls.

## General Comments on the Wall Performance.

#### 880mm long walls

At the +/-45mm cycle the load was still increasing after each cycle stage.

At these extreme deflections (Photo 3) the vertical component of racking was being taken by the M12 tie rods with sufficiently high forces to deform the bottom 80x80x5mm washers (Photo 2) into the underside of the timber joists. Some cracking (Photo 1) occurred in the horizontal bed joints as expected under these high racking uplift forces.

The lateral component of the racking force appeared to be resisted by a combination dowel action with M12 tie rods and the bed joint strength. Under loading there did not appear to be any signs of sliding at the base or at the bed-joints.

#### 1800mm long wall

Up to the level at which the test was stopped the wall appeared to be behaving as per the 880mm long walls.



*Photo 1:* Shows cracking at 40mm top plate deflection, these cracks close up when top plate deflection returns to 0mm





Photo 2: Shows 80x80 washers after racking to 55mm

**Photo 3:** Shows 880mm wall at 55mm top plate deflection

### Figure 2: Calculations for the 880mm long walls 237410, 237412 & 237413

P21 RACKING TEST RESULTS EVALUATION
Timbercrete 150mm blocks
2 - M12 tie downs at each end 80x80x6 bottom washers
3 - 100x4.0 P21 hold downs each end

Calculated to BRANZ TR No. 10. NZS 3604 1990 Forest Research Institute, PB 3020 Rotorua. TE Lab

Data afterst	44.4		Tested by
Forest Research In	Istitute, PB 3020 Ro	torua. TE Lad	wind

178 BU/m

195

BU/m

Summary

Earthquake

Date of test:-	14-Aug-06	Ship No. 2352	Tested by Doug Gaunt
Date of calcn:-	14-Aug-06	Job No. <b>TE06-001</b>	Data Analysed b Doug Gaunt

	Serviceability Cycles		Ultimate Cycles				
	Cycle to H/300 or DLQ or DLW		Cycle to Displacement			Wall dim	ensions
	8.00	X mm	y=(mm)			L(mm)	H(mm)
Lab Number	Loads	Residual	Maximum			880	2400
Plus direction	S	Defln, C	Load	def @ P		d at P/2	4th,R
Minus direction	kN	mm	P(kN)	y (mm)	P/2 (kN)	d mm	kN
237412 plus	4.90	1.00	12.30	40.0	6.15	13.0	11.90
minus	4.60	1.00	12.20	40.0			11.70
237413 plus	4.20	1.50	11.00	40.0	5.50	12.0	10.00
minus	4.40	1.60	11.20	40.0			9.80
237410 plus	4.00	0.50	11.00	40.0	5.50	13.5	10.40
minus	4.40	0.50	11.20	40.0			10.80
	(S)	(C)	(P)	(y)		(d)	(R)
Avgs	4.42	1.02	11.48	40.00	5.72	12.83	10.77
CoV %	6.46	42.27	4.78	0.00	5.36	4.86	7.40
y = average failure def	flection or peak	deflection of the	three tests.				
d= average first cycle	displacement at	half peak, (the v	ery first cycle	wall reaches th	ie load)		
R = Residual load, P =	= Peak Load, S =	<ul> <li>Serviceability log</li> </ul>	bad				
Displacement Recove	ry Factor (k1)		k1 = 1.4 - C	X	1.00	(.8 <= K1 <	= 1.0)
Resistance (earthquak	ke - servicabilibit	y limit state	F = k1xS		4.42		
Average Structural Dis	splacement Duct	ility factor	u = y/d		3.12		
Ductility Modification fa	K4 =		0.80				
DLW = Selected defle	ction limit for wir	nd forces	DLQ = Sele	cted deflection	limit for earthqu	ake forces	
P21 TR 10 Suppleme	ent Calculations	<u>.</u>					
Branz Technical Reco	mmendation No	. 10. NZS 3604	1990				
Limit States Values	Limit States Values Timbercrete 150mm blocks						
		2 - M12 tie dov	wns at each e	nd 80x80x6 bot	tom washers		
		3 - 100x4.0 P2	1 hold downs	each end			
Earthouake Rating		Ultimate			Serviceability		

Earthquake Rating		Ultimate		Serviceability
EQ Ultimate	20 x K4 x R =	171.92	20/0.48 x F/k4 = 2	230.50
	195.4	BU/m		
Vind Rating		Ultimate	:	Serviceability
Vind Ultimate	20* P =	229.67	20 / 0.563 x F = 1	156.90
	178.3	BU/m		

## Figure 3: Calculations for 1800mm long wall 237411

Ship No. 2352

Job No. TE06-001

Timbercrete 150mm blocks 2 - M12 tie downs at each end 90x90x6 bottom washers 3 - 100x4.0 P21 hold downs each end Calculated to BRANZ TR No. 10. NZS 3604 1990 Forest Research Institute, PB 3020 Rotorua. TE Lab

> 1-Aug-06 1-Aug-06

Date of test:-

Date of calcn:-

Summary	
Earthquake	#DIV/0! BU/m
Wind	278 BU/m
Tested by	Doug Gaunt

 Tested by
 Doug Gaunt

 Data Analysed by
 Doug Gaunt

	Serviceability C	/cles	Ultimate Cyc	cles			
	Cycle to H/300 or DLQ or DLW		Cycle to Displacement			Wall dim	ensions
	8.00	X mm	y=(mm)			L(mm)	H(mm)
Lab Number	Loads	Residual	Maximum			1800	2400
Plus direction	S	Defln, C	Load	def @ P		d at P/2	4th,R
Minus direction	kN	mm	P(kN)	y (mm)	P/2 (kN)	d mm	kN
					10 -0		
237411 plus	16.00	2.00	25.00	15.0	12.50	5.0	
minus	21.20	2.00	25.00	15.0	0.00		
plus					0.00		
minus					0.00		
pius	Toot machin		 		0.00		
minus	Test macrim	e maximum ca <sub>h</sub>	расну = ∠экі 	ч I			
	(S)	(C)	(P)	(y)		(d)	(R)
Avgs	18.60	2.00	25.00	15.00	4.17	5.00	#DIV/0!
CoV %	13.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	141.42	0.00	#DIV/0!
y = average failure def	lection or peak d	eflection of the th	hree tests.				
d= average first cycle of	displacement at h	nalf peak, (the ve	ery first cycle	wall reaches th	ne load)		
R = Residual load, P =	· Peak Load, S =	Serviceability loa	ad				
Displacement Recovery Factor (k1)         k1 = 1.4 - C/X         1.00         (.8 <= K1 <= 1.0)							= 1.0)
Resistance (earthquak	ce - servicabilibity	/ limit state	F = k1xS		18.60		
Average Structural Dis	placement Ducti	lity factor	u = y/d		3.00		
Ductility Modification fa	actor		K4 =		0.77		
DLW = Selected deflect	ction limit for wine	d forces	DLQ = Selec	cted deflection	limit for earthqu	ake forces	
DO4 TD 40 Ourseland							
P21 1 K 10 Suppleme	nt Calculations	40 NZC 2604	4000				
Branz Technical Recor	mmendation ino.	10. NZS 3604	1990 Oram blocks				
Limit States values			UMITI DIOCKS	d covoove hat	to manhara		
		2 - IVITZ tie dowi	NS at each ei		tom washers		
Earthquake Bating		3 - 100X4.0 ⊏∠1	noiu uowiis	each enu	Sonviceability		
	20 v K4 v P -		20	0 48 x E/k4 -	1001 11		
		#DIV/U:	20	/U.40 X F/K4 -	1001.11		
Wind Rating	#DIV/0:	Illtimato			Sorviceability		
Wind I litimate	20* P =	500.00	20	$0/0.563 \times F =$	Serviceasing		
	20	BII/m	21	J / 0.000 x i =	000.75		
	211.0	DU/III					

#### References

- 1. R.C Cooney, M.J Collins: A Wall Bracing Test and Evaluation Procedure, BRANZ Technical paper P21, 1979, revised 1982, 1987, 1988.
- 2. A.B King, K.Y.S Lim: Supplement to P21: An Evaluation Method of P21 Test Results for use with NZS3604:1990, BRANZ Technical Recommendation No:10., December 1991.